

Demonizing Islam before and after 9/11

Anti-Islamic Spin: an Important Factor in Pro-War PR?

After years of research about the coverage of Islam in German and other European Media, I come to the conclusion that an analysis based purely on descriptive features is not enough to capture the phenomenon and its impact. Therefore, I expanded my analytical work to include the question of the purpose the mostly negatively biased coverage of topics (possibly) related to Islam has served over the years.

One thing worth noting when examining the coverage of Islam is that there is no qualitative difference between the coverage before and after 9/11.ⁱ For example, my dissertation “Islam in German Media” shows on the one hand that anti-Islamic stereotypes, which were cultivated for decades, resulted in something of a tradition of covering Islam, Muslims, and Arabs as backward and violent.ⁱⁱ On the other hand, however, there has been a large quantitative difference: after 9/11 the same topics came up more often and in a much more explicit way.ⁱⁱⁱ From a Western perspective, the conclusions drawn from the attacks and the arguments advanced in their aftermath seem logical – in that they do not contradict the impressions created over time through books, TV programs, and public discourse.

The Framing of “The Muslim Woman”

One illustrative example of how stereotyped portrayals can be shaped by selectively mentioning and omitting, emphasising and de-emphasising facts is the framing of the discourse on Muslim women. Emotionally potent portrayals in films like Betty Mahmoody’s “Not without My Daughter” and the discussions about women’s

emancipation in Arab countries initiated by Nawal El Sadaawi and Fatima Mernissi reinforced the orientalist idea that all Muslim women suffer the same, monolithic fate. By overlooking important aspects of the issue – e.g. existence of a large number of female professors in Egypt, the number of female students in Iran and the powerful role of women in families, and the lack of a universal “Islamic” concept of explaining the different conditions of women and men – dominant portrayals have reduced the situation of Muslim women to the frame of “the oppressed Muslim woman”.^{iv} This stereotypical concept of “the Muslim woman” plays different (independent) roles in Western discourses – including the following:

1. This discourse establishes a metonymic relationship (“pars pro toto”) that allows the mere reference to “Muslim women” or an image of a woman in a headscarf to act as a substitute for detailed coverage of a complex situation in another country.



(Frankfurter Rundschau 28th March 1992)

2. The rights of Muslim women are assigned an exaggerated importance in the West, overshadowing issues closer to home feminist issues in the West – these easily seem to be exaggerated facing “the condition” of Muslim women.
3. The fight for Muslim women’s rights can be instrumentalised both for domestic policies such as banning headscarves, which contribute to a mood of fear,^v and as a means of legitimising invasions of countries like Afghanistan or Iran.

The latter aspect was recently demonstrated by the CIA “Red Cell Paper” published on Wikileaks.^{vi} Even the acknowledgement of this strategy – also mentioned on the important website of Germany’s ARD TV station^{vii} – didn’t prevent our media from picking up the very emotional story of a woman known as “Bibi Aisha” from *Time* Magazine – shown there on the cover with her nose chopped off – only a few days

after Wikileaks had published the disturbing documents of the Afghan War Diary.^{viii}



Using Bad Images

Whether or not the negative images of the Muslim world that are so common in Western discourse were specifically created as an argument in favour of “humanitarian intervention” in the Muslim world, we must acknowledge that the strategy works today,^{ix} perhaps only because many people have become accustomed to a reductionist and stereotypical framing of Islam or “the Orient”, as either exotic, or as a threat to freedom, women and development. We all tend to recycle the same aspects again and again – by automatically choosing the already known subjects and pictures and overseeing many others.^x This is how our perception works, if we don’t maintain awareness. Of course, this lack of reflection may be used by strategists like Zbigniew Brzezinski and others to make us believe that “our” mission is good.^{xi} Frames and complex metaphors – like that of the altruistic hero fighting for human rights and freedom as in every Western movie – can be exploited for Public Relations purposes.^{xii} The media become the vehicle; the framing may shift between “selfless” humanitarian motives and self-defence, but the Manichaeian – according to which “we” are “defending” our right and only good way of life – is never challenged.

Playful Preparations

Another type of media may probably be another vehicle towards acceptance of violence as a solution using the same frames of “self-defence” and “humanitarian action”. This should be taken into account, because our youth, especially boys, are spending more and more time on video game consoles and the Internet. As modern video games fit strikingly well into the conceptual framework of the mainstream media (and, indeed, are not infrequently designed and marketed by corporations affiliated with the corporations that own the mainstream media), their sort of reflection of political discussions seems important. Is it possible that games like Kuma War, Medal of Honour and others serve to change the attitudes of their players, leading them to be more accepting of war as a legitimate form of policy, normalising war for the next generation? While it would be difficult to answer this question definitively now, I’d like to encourage more research on this topic – for the sake of human rights and international law – by showing a few screenshots depicting “the enemy” from these games below.



(Screenshots: KUMA Trailer – see

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3K292hBCQUM&p=CAE609711E86531B&playnext=1&index=16>)



(Screenshots: Medal of Honor – see <http://www.ea.com/games/medal-of-honor>)

CV

Dr. Sabine Schiffer is head of the Institut für Medienverantwortung (Institute for Media Responsibility – IMV) in Germany, where she organises and conducts workshops on media analysis and media education. She holds a Ph.D. in linguistics from the University of Erlangen and works as a media educator. Her research focuses on the analysis of prejudice and discrimination in news media and on the impact of media on attitudes and values. Her central research interests include modern forms of propaganda, including the role of think tanks and PR agencies.

Publications include a book on ‚Die Darstellung des Islams in der deutschen Presse‘ (The Portrayal of Islam in the German Press) [2005], a contribution to ‚Mediale Barrieren – Rassismus als Integrationshindernis‘ (Racism as a Barrier to Social Integration) [2007, ed. by Jäger/Halm] and journal articles about anti-Semitism, news coverage of the Middle East, and the increase in on-screen violence. Her recent book, published jointly with Constantin Wagner, is a comparative analysis of the anti-Semitic discourse of the 19th Century and the anti-Islamic discourses of today.

ⁱ Aly, Samir (2002): *Das Bild der islamischen Welt in der westdeutschen Presse in den 70er Jahren*. Dr. Hänsel-Hohenhausen publ.

Hafez, Kai (2002): *Die politische Dimension der Auslandsberichterstattung. Das Nahost- und Islambild in der deutschen und überregionalen Presse*. (Bd. 2) Nomos publ.

Pinn, Irmgard & Marlies Wehner (1992): „Das Bild der islamischen Frau in den westlichen Medien. In: *Islam im Abendland*. Sonderband 1 der Zeitschrift. „Die Brücke“, Saarbrücken: p. 92-105.

Poole, Elisabeth (2002): *Reporting Islam*. IB Tauris publ. [focusing on the portrayal of British Muslims in 1999]

Said, Edward (1981): *Covering Islam: How the Media and the Experts Determine How We See the Rest of the World*. New York: Pantheon Books.

Schiffer, Sabine (1996): „Medien und Wissensvermittlung am Beispiel des Islams. Perspektiven für einen medienpädagogischen Ansatz.“ In: Nolda, Sigrid (ed.) *Erwachsenenbildung in der Wissensgesellschaft*. Julius Klinckhardt publ: p. 120-139.

Sokolowsky, Kay (2009): *Feindbild Moslem*. Rotbuch publ.

ⁱⁱ Schiffer, Sabine (2005): *Die Darstellung des Islams in der Presse*. [The Coverage of Islam in the Press.] Ergon publ.. [focusing on the representation of Islam in German media throughout the 1990ies]

ⁱⁱⁱ Schiffer, Sabine (will appear soon): „Islamophobia – old and new in Germany“ In: Hafez, Farid (ed.)

^{iv} Pinn, Irmgard & Marlies Wehner (1995): *Europhantasien*. Duisburger Institut für Sprach- und Sozialforschung.

^v Fekete, Liz (2009): *A suitable enemy. Racism, Migration and Islamophobia in Europe*. Pluto Press.

^{vi} Wikileaks 26th March 2010: <http://file.wikileaks.org/file/cia-afghanistan.pdf> (checked 4th sept 2010)

^{vii} ARD 18th April 2010 <http://www.tagesschau.de/ausland/ciaafghanistan104.html> (checked 4th sept)

^{viii} Wikileaks 25th July 2010 http://wikileaks.org/wiki/Afghan_War_Diary,_2004-2010 (checked 4th sept);

ARD 7th August 2010 <http://www.tagesschau.de/ausland/afghanistanusa100.html> (checked 5th sept);

in this special case, the background of this Coverstory was analysed by Huffington-Post author Jason Linkin 13th August 2010 http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2010/08/12/aryn-baker-time-magazines_n_680380.html (checked 5th sept 2010): the husband of photographer Aryn Baker has business interests in Afghanistan...

^{ix} Attia, Iman (2007): *Orient- und Islambilder. Interdisziplinäre Beiträge zu Orientalismus und antimuslimischem Rassismus*. Unrast publ.; Shaheen, Jack (2007) Film „Reel bad Arabs. How Hollywood vilifies a people.“ (<http://www.reelbadarabs.com/>)

^x Schiffer, Sabine (2005): „The Coverage of Islam in German Media.“ In: www.bpb.de (checked 7th sept. - http://www.bpb.de/publikationen/PEULK0,0,Der_Islam_in_deutschen_Medien.html); Schiffer, Sabine (2007): „Medien als Spiegel und Konstrukteur gesellschaftlicher Vorstellungen“ In:

www.migration-boell.de (checked 7th sept. - http://www.migration-boell.de/web/diversity/48_1231.asp); Wagner, Constantin (2010): „Diskriminierende Darstellungen von MuslimInnen in deutschen Medien“ In: www.migration-boell.de (checked 7th sept. -

http://www.migration-boell.de/web/diversity/48_2529.asp)

^{xi} Brzezinski, Zbigniew (1997): *The Grand Chessboard*. Basic Books.

^{xii} Lakoff, George (1991): *Metaphor and War*. (see a new article including the old one: <http://www.alternet.org/story/15414/> - checked 4th sept)